EXHIBIT A

```
Page 1
                                                                                                                                     Page 3
                                                                                  APPEARANCES:
           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
                                                                       3
                                                                          ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS AND
3
                                                                          COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT SOITEC:
                                                                       4
   S,O,I,TEC SILICON ON INSULATOR)
                                                                             Winston & Strawn LLP
   TECHNOLOGIES S.A. and
                                                                       5
                                                                             Mr. Michael Brody, Esq.
   SOITEC USA, INC.,
                                                                             35 West Wacker Drive
                                                                             Chicago, Illinois 60601
          Plaintiffs and )
6
                                                                       б
          Counterclaim
                                                                          ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT AND
                                                                       8
7
          Defendants,
                                                                          CONTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF MEMC:
                    )Civil Action No.:05806-KAJ
   VS.
                                                                       9
8
                                                                              Senniger Powers
   MEMC ELECTRONIC MATERIALS, INC.)
                                                                       10
                                                                             Mr. Mark Vander Tulg, Esq.
9
                                                                             Mr. Robert Evans, Esq.
       Defendants and
                                                                       11
                                                                             One Metropolitan Square
       Counterclaim Plaintiff.)
10
                                                                             16th Floor
                                                                         St Louis, Missouri 63102
ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS AND
COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT SOITEC:
11
                                                                       12
                                                                       13
12
         VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF EDWARD HEILEK
13
             TAKEN BY MICHAEL BRODY
                                                                             Jacques Blie Levy
            ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
14
                                                                       15
                                                                             Parc technologique des Fontaines
               OCTOBER 23, 2007
                                                                             Bernin 38926 Crolles
15
                                                                       16
                                                                             Cedex, France
             (Attorney's Eyes Only)
                                                                       17
                                                                          ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS AND
16
                                                                       18
                                                                          COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT SOITEC:
            REPORTED BY CINDY R. MESSINA
17
                                                                             Edwards, Angell, Palmer & Dodge LLP
            CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
                                                                       19
                                                                             Mr. George W. Neuner
18
             CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
                                                                       20
                                                                             101 Federal Street
19
                                                                             Boston, Massachusetts 02110
20
                                                                       21
21
                                                                       22
22
                                                                          Also present: Richard Brophy, Esq.
                                                                       23
23
24
                                                                       24
25
25
                                                               Page 2
                                                                                                                                      Page 4
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                                        INDEX
 1
                                                                                        WITNESSES
             FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
 2
 3
                                                                           EDWARD HEILEK FOR DEFENDANT
                                                                          Direct Examination by Mr. Brody
                                                                                                                  6:2
    S.O.I.TEC SILICON ON INSULATOR)
                                                                                        EXHIBITS
 5
    TECHNOLOGIES S.A. and
                                                                          For Plaintiff:
                                                                        7
                                                                               Amended Notice of Deposition that
    SOITEC USA, INC.,
                                                                        8
                                                                               Was filed in Delaware Court on
 6
            Plaintiffs and )
                                                                               October 19, 2007
            Counterclaim
                                                                               Identified
            Defendants,
                        )Civil Action No.:05806-KAJ
     VS.
                                                                               Copy file history that led to the
                                                                           3
                                                                               302 patent, United States Patent
 8
                                                                       11
                                                                               5919302
    MEMC ELECTRONIC MATERIALS, INC.)
                                                                        12
                                                                               Identified
 9
                                                                               Copy of the prosecution history for
                                                                        13 4
         Defendants and
                                                                               the 104 patent
         Counterclaim Plaintlff,)
 10
                                                                               Identified
                                                                                                        119:24
 11
                                                                        15 5
                                                                               Document bearing Bates Numbers
             DEPOSITION OF EDWARD HEJLEK, produced,
                                                                               SP01254 through 1292
 12
 13 sworn, and examined on the 23rd day of October, 2007, at
                                                                        16
                                                                               Identified
                                                                        17 6
                                                                               Document bearing Bates Numbers
 14 the Offices of Senninger Powers, One Metropolitan Square,
                                                                               SP01413 through SP01418, Senninger
    16th Floor, in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri,
                                                                        18
                                                                               Powers Time Records
 16 before Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter within
                                                                               Identified
                                                                                                        123:5
 17 and for the State of Missouri, in a certain cause now
                                                                        19
 18 pending In The United States District Court For the
                                                                           7
                                                                                Privilege log provided by MBMC
                                                                        20
                                                                               Identified
 19 District of Delaware, S.O.I.Tec Silicon on Insulator
                                                                                                        127:9
                                                                                MEMC's Responses to SOITec's First
                                                                        21 8
 20 Technologies S.A. and SOITEC USA, Inc., Plaintiffs and
                                                                               Set of Interrogatories
     Counterclaim Defendants, vs. MEMC Electronic Materials,
                                                                        22
                                                                               Identified
                                                                                                        149:8
 22 Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.
                                                                                United State's Patent File History
                                                                        23 9
 23
                                                                                6236104 Prior Art, Volume 2
 24
                                                                                                        189.1
                                                                                Identified
                                                                        25 10
                                                                               United States Patent 6287380
 25
```

	I.
Page 5	Page 7 MR. LEVY: Jacques Levy, General Counsel,
1 11 United States Patent 6254672	
2 Identified 198:5	2 Soitec.
3 12 United States Patent Number 5937312	3 MR, VANDER TUIG: Mark Vander Tuig on
Identified 201:13	4 behalf of MEMC and Senniger Powers.
4	5 MR. EVANS: Robert Evans, Senniger Powers,
13 United States Patent 6284384	6 here on behalf of Defendant Counter-Claim Plaintiff MEMC.
5 Identified 208:22	7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court
6 14 United States Patent 5024723 issued	8 reporter please swear in the witness.
to Ulrich Gosele	9 EDWARD HEJLEK,
7 Identified 43:23	10 of lawful age, being first duly sworn to tell the truth,
Identified 229:4	11 the whole truth and nothing but the truth deposes and
8 9	12 says as follows:
10	
11	
$\hat{12}$	14 BY MR. BRODY:
13	15 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hejlek.
14	16 A. Good morning.
15	17 Q. We introduced ourselves earlier. I think
16	18 you know my name is Michael Brody and I'm here on behalf
17	19 of Soitec for the plaintiff in this case. Do you
18	20 understand that?
19 20	21 A. I do.
20 21	22 Q. And you understand that this is a lawsuit
22	23 in which Soitec has sought a declaration of invalidity
23	•
24	24 and not infringement with respect to one of MEMC's
25	25 patents; right?
	§
Page 6	Page 8
1	1 A. Ido.
1 2 STIPULATION	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not
1	1 A. Ido.
1 2 STIPULATION	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not
1 2 STIPULATION 3 ITIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of
1 2 STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct.
1 2 STIPULATION 3 ITIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers
1 2 STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived.	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right?
1 2 STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday,	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct.
1 2 STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, 9 October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your
1 2 STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, 9 October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is 10 Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record?
1 2 STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, 9 October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is 10 Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated 11 with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K.
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area?
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition Services.	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do.
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition Services.	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do.
1 2 STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, 9 October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is 10 Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated 11 with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter 12 is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition 13 Services. 14 We are taking the deposition of Edward	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to
1 2 STIPULATION 3 ITIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, 9 October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is 10 Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated 11 with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter 12 is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition 13 Services. 14 We are taking the deposition of Edward 15 Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator 16 Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct?
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition Services. We are taking the deposition of Edward Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition Services. We are taking the deposition of Edward Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it 18 for whatever that means.
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition Services. We are taking the deposition of Edward Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case 19 No. 105-CV-00806.	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it— 18 for whatever that means. 19 Q. Okay. And I take it if Soitec were to ask
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition Services. We are taking the deposition of Edward Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 105-CV-00806. Would counsel please introduce themselves,	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it— 18 for whatever that means. 19 Q. Okay. And I take it if Soitec were to ask 20 you to appear at trial you would be inclined not to
1 STIPULATION 3 ITIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THB VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, 9 October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is 10 Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated 11 with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter 12 is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition 13 Services. 14 We are taking the deposition of Edward 15 Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator 16 Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus 17 MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United 18 States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case 19 No. 105-CV-00806. 20 Would counsel please introduce themselves, 21 starting with Plaintiff's counsel.	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it 18 for whatever that means. 19 Q. Okay. And I take it if Soitec were to ask 20 you to appear at trial you would be inclined not to 21 respond not to agree to it? Would you agree to appear
1 STIPULATION 3 ITIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, 9 October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is 10 Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated 11 with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter 12 is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition 13 Services. 14 We are taking the deposition of Edward 15 Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator 16 Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus 17 MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United 18 States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case 19 No. 105-CV-00806. 20 Would counsel please introduce themselves, 21 starting with Plaintiff's counsel. 22 MR. BRODY: Michael Brody, Winston and	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it 18 for whatever that means. 19 Q. Okay. And I take it if Soitec were to ask 20 you to appear at trial you would be inclined not to 21 respond not to agree to it? Would you agree to appear 22 at trial if Soitec were to request you to do so?
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition Services. We are taking the deposition of Edward Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 105-CV-00806. Would counsel please introduce themselves, starting with Plaintiff's counsel. MR. BRODY: Michael Brody, Winston and	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it 18 for whatever that means. 19 Q. Okay. And I take it if Soitec were to ask 20 you to appear at trial you would be inclined not to 21 respond not to agree to it? Would you agree to appear 22 at trial if Soitec were to request you to do so? 23 A. I would consider it when asked, but I have
1 STIPULATION 3 ITIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 4 counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken 5 in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court 6 Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and 7 signature by the witness is not waived. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, 9 October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is 10 Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated 11 with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter 12 is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition 13 Services. 14 We are taking the deposition of Edward 15 Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator 16 Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus 17 MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United 18 States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case 19 No. 105-CV-00806. 20 Would counsel please introduce themselves, 21 starting with Plaintiff's counsel. 22 MR. BRODY: Michael Brody, Winston and	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it 18 for whatever that means. 19 Q. Okay. And I take it if Soitec were to ask 20 you to appear at trial you would be inclined not to 21 respond not to agree to it? Would you agree to appear 22 at trial if Soitec were to request you to do so? 23 A. I would consider it when asked, but I have 24 not been asked.
STIPULATION TIS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the parties that this deposition may be taken in shorthand by Cindy R. Messina, Certified Court Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into printing, and signature by the witness is not waived. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, Tuesday, October 23rd, 2007. The time is 9:10 a.m. My name is Tim Sheehan, Certified Legal Video Specialist associated with the Esquire Deposition Services. The court reporter is Cindy Messina, also associated with Esquire Deposition Services. We are taking the deposition of Edward Hejlek in the case of S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator Technologies S.A. and SOITech USA, Incorporated versus MBMC Electronic Materials, Inc., pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 105-CV-00806. Would counsel please introduce themselves, starting with Plaintiff's counsel. MR. BRODY: Michael Brody, Winston and Strawn for Plaintiff Soitec.	1 A. I do. 2 Q. You understand that you're here today not 3 in your individual capacity, but speaking on behalf of 4 your law firm and MEMC? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And that is to say Senniger Powers 7 and MEMC Electronic Materials; right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Could you state your name and spell your 10 name for the record? 11 A. Sure, Edward J. Hejlek, H-E-J-L-E-K. 12 Q. And do you reside in the St. Louis area? 13 A. I do. 14 Q. Okay. And so you would not be amenable to 15 subpoena in the District Court of Delaware; is that 16 correct? 17 A. I reside in Kirkwood. I'll leave it 18 for whatever that means. 19 Q. Okay. And I take it if Soitec were to ask 20 you to appear at trial you would be inclined not to 21 respond not to agree to it? Would you agree to appear 22 at trial if Soitec were to request you to do so? 23 A. I would consider it when asked, but I have

4

9

12

15

Page 149

1 certainly do not recall it. Can I say categorically he 2 did not? No, I don't recall that.

MR. BRODY: Let's mark this as Exhibit 8. (Plaintiff's Deposition Exhibit 8 was

5 marked for identification.)

Q. (By Mr. Brody) Mr. Hejlek, I have had the
 court reporter mark as Plaintiff's Deposition Exhibit 8
 MEMC's Responses to Soitec's First Set of Interrogatories

9 No. 1 through 16, which is a 16-page document. Do you

10 have that document in front of you, sir?

11 A. I do.

3

19

12 Q. Can you turn to Page 6 of the document.

13 And Interrogatory 5 requests, "For each invention covered

14 by each asserted claim," and in this case I believe the

15 asserted claims are 1, 9 and 10 of the 104 patent.

16 "describe in detail the conception of the invention,

7 reduction of the invention to practice, and diligence in

18 reducing the invention to practice."

And then MEMC interposes some objections.

20 And then on Page 7 the response states, "Dr. Robert J.

21 Falster conceived of the invention covered by claims 1

22 and 9 prior to a meeting with Soitec on October 30, 1996.

23 Dr. Falster had previously conceived and reduced to

24 practice a CZ silicon wafer containing an axially

25 symmetric region substantially free of agglomerated

Page 151

Page 152

1 that there was a question about inventors.

Q. Okay. Do you recall that happening in 3 connection with the filing of this lawsuit?

A. No, it predated.

Q. Well, the patent issued in May of 2001, and the complaint and the lawsuit was filed, I believe,

7 in 2005. So I take it it was someplace between those two

8 events?

A. Correct.

10 Q. Who told you about Soitec, Soitec's

11 claims?

A. I do not recall.

13 Q. Do you recall what customers were

14 involved?

A. No.O. Did -- was Mr. Falster the one who

16 Q. Did -- was Mr. Falster the one who 17 described to you the circumstances under which he

18 conceived the invention, or did somebody relay to you

19 what he had told them?

20 MR. VANDER TUIG: I'll object to the 21 extent that it calls for disclosure of attorney/client

22 communications.

MR, BRODY: Well --

A. I can answer the question without 25 getting into that. I had direct conversations with Bob

Page 150

1 intrinsic point defects where the predominate intrinsic

2 point defect was interstitial. Dr. Falster believed such

3 a wafer would provide better performance than the wafers

4 used by the integrated circuit industry. In advance of

5 his meeting with Soitec on October 30, 1996 Dr. Falster

6 knew that Soitec's primary product at the time was the 7 S.O.I. product. Dr. Falster conceived of using his CZ

8 silicon wafer as the donor wafer to provide the device

9 layer in the Soitec S.O.I. product prior to the meeting.

10 This was a conception of claims 1 and 9."

Do you see that passage?

12 A. I see that.

13 Q. Is that something you were told by Dr.

14 Falster during the course of the 104 prosecution?

A. No.

15

16 Q. Okay. Is reading it today, is that the

17 first time you became aware of that description of the

18 conception of those claims?

19 A. No.

Q. When did you first learn that those were

21 the circumstances under which the invention was

22 conceived?

A. Subsequent to the issuance of the 104

24 patent it was somehow brought to my attention that Soitec

25 notified sales people, customers, that sort of thing,

1 Falster.

23

O. (By Mr. Brody) Okay. Did Mr. Falster,

3 did his description of the conception of the invention

4 differ in any way from what's disclosed in MEMC's

5 Interrogatory Response to Interrogatory No. 5?

A. What is described here is consistent with what my recollection is.

8 Q. Did he give you additional information 9 about the conception?

MR. VANDER TUIG: Object to the extent it calls for disclosure of attorney/client communication.

MR. BRODY: That question I don't think

3 calls for disclosure of any substance. I'm just asking

4 if there was any additional substance disclosed, not what 5 it was, although that's going to be my next question.

16 A. Yes, he shared more than what's here with 17 me,

18 Q. (By Mr. Brody) What else did he share with 19 you?

19 you?20 MR. VANDER TUIG: Object, calls for

21 disclosure of attorney/client communication.

MR. BRODY: Well, setting aside whether it does or it doesn't, I don't see how it can be privileged

24 if half of the communication has been disclosed already.

25 You can't disclose part of a communication and not the

38 (Pages 149 to 152)